UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,334	09/07/2007	Matthias Blessing	095309.57214US	6871
23911 CROWELL & I	7590 09/09/200 MORING LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			GIMIE, MAHMOUD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3747	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/563,334	BLESSING ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mahmoud Gimie	3747
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ The 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 18-34 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 18,19,22-27,29,30 and 32 is/are rej 7) ☐ Claim(s) 20,21,28,31,33 and 34 is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	rawn from consideration. ected. red to. /or election requirement. ner.	
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2005 is  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been recei au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/563,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 3747

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Tashiro et al. (WO 02/066813, equivalent to US 6,901,747).

Tashiro et al. disclose a method for operating an internal combustion engine with compression ignition, comprising: injecting fuel into a combustion chamber as a plurality of fuel jets via an injection nozzle which has a nozzle needle and injection bores, such that some of the fuel is injected as a main injection (Fm) and thereafter a fuel quantity (Fas, Fam) is injected as a cyclical post-injection into the combustion chamber, wherein the cyclical post-injection partial quantities formed occurs in different magnitudes (fig. 1(c)).

Regarding claim 25, wherein part of the fuel is injected as a pre-injection (Fp) with an injection pressure which is less than or equal to that of the main injection (Fm).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3747

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 19, 29 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tashiro et al. (WO 02/066813) in view Shafer et al. (US 2003/0066509).

Regarding claim 19, Tashiro et al. disclose all the limitations as applied to claims 18 and 25 above, except for the fuel jet in the combustion chamber is limited to less than a distance to the combustion chamber boundary.

Shafer et al. discloses fuel jets in the combustion chamber limited to less than a distance to the combustion chamber boundary; see figures 1b and 1c.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Tashiro et al. by limiting the fuel jets to less than a distance to the combustion chamber boundary as disclosed by Shafer et al. in order to prevent wetting of the cylinder surfaces and reduce emissions; see paragraph 0036.

Regarding claim 29, Shafer et al. disclose the fuel jet offset of laterally shifted by a swirling motion formed in the combustion chamber; see figures 1b and 1c.

Regarding claim 32, Shafer et al. disclose an inwardly opening nozzle needles and plurality of injection bores (276, 278), and spray-hole cone angle of from  $80^{\circ}$  to  $140^{\circ}$  is settable between the injected fuel jets; see figures 18a-18c.

5. Claims 22-24, 26, 27 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tashiro et al. (WO 02/066813)

Regarding claims 22-24, 26 and 27, Tashiro et al. discloses all the limitations as applied to claims 18 and 25 above, except for the crankshaft angle range where the fuel injection occurs.

Tashiro et al. however disclose that the fuel injection is controlled as a function of the crankshaft angle (horizontal axis of figure 1).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the crankshaft angle where the fuel injection occurs in order to optimize the timing fuel injection.

Regarding claim 30, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that an upper movement of the needle creates cavitational flow in the injection bores.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 18 and 25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 20, 21, 28, 31, 33 and 34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

Application/Control Number: 10/563,334 Page 5

Art Unit: 3747

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g)

prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mahmoud Gimie whose telephone number is 571-272-

4841. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 7 a.m. -3:30

p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/MG/

/Mahmoud Gimie/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3747